

<b>AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT</b>			1. CONTRACT ID CODE J	PAGE OF PAGES 1   18
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0004	3. EFFECTIVE DATE 01-Sep-2015	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO. W66QKZ51215710		5. PROJECT NO.(If applicable)
6. ISSUED BY US ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS JEFFREY RENNEN PO BOX 2946 PORTLAND OR 97208-2946	CODE W9127N	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6) <b>See Item 6</b>		
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State and Zip Code)		X	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. W9127N-15-R-0003	
		X	9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 04-Aug-2015	
			10A. MOD. OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.	
			10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
CODE	FACILITY CODE			
<b>11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS</b>				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offer <input type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning <u>1</u> copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.				
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)				
<b>13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.</b>				
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.				
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B).				
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:				
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)				
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.				
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)  Amends Small Business Participation Plan goal to 15% of the total contract value. Removes IHA permit provision since final permit has been received and incorporated in AMD 0003.				
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.				
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)		
		TEL:	EMAIL:	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		16C. DATE SIGNED
_____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)		BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)		

## SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

**SUMMARY OF CHANGES**

## SECTION 00100 - BIDDING SCHEDULE / INSTRUCTIONS TO BIDDERS

The following have been added by full text:

**INSTRUCTION AND BASIS OF AWARD****A. General.**

(1) This solicitation is an unrestricted procurement and is open to all offerors. The Government intends to award a single, firm-fixed price construction contract to the responsible offeror whose proposal represents the best value after evaluation in accordance with the factors and sub-factors in the solicitation. Offerors shall submit a technical proposal (including a Small Business Participation Plan), and a price proposal. The evaluation results of the non-price and price proposals will determine an awardee. All evaluation factors other than price are approximately equal in importance to one another. All evaluation factors other than price, when combined, are more important than price.

(2) The Government intends to make an award without discussions, but reserves the right to conduct discussions should discussions prove to be necessary or advantageous to the Government. Because the Government does not intend to hold discussions offerors are encouraged to include their best pricing in their initial proposal.

(3) In the context of this proposal, "offeror" refers to the proposed prime contractor. A major subcontractor is defined as one who will be providing critical elements (i.e. quarry, delivery, placement activities (major features of work)) and/or whose subcontract is for more than 25% of the total proposed price.

(4) Information submitted about any company other than the offeror, whether an affiliated company, major subcontractor, or other associated business, may not be given much weight unless the proposal contains evidence that the offeror has obtained a written commitment from this other business entity to perform a portion of the work.

(5) All proposals received will stand alone and be considered complete and final. The evaluation board will not consider any information or data incorporated by reference or otherwise referred to. The successful offeror will be selected solely on the basis of the evaluation factors set forth below. Accordingly, proposals submitted in response to this solicitation should provide clear, complete, concise, and straightforward responses to the evaluation factors. Elaborate proposals, color brochures, and other excesses are discouraged.

**B. Evaluation Ratings.**

All non-price factors other than past performance shall be rated using the combined technical/risk rating as follows:

COLOR	RATING	DESCRIPTION
Blue	Outstanding	<b>Proposal meets requirements and indicates an exceptional approach and understanding of the requirements. Strengths far outweigh any weaknesses. Risk of unsuccessful performance is very low.</b>
Purple	Good	<b>Proposal meets requirements and indicates a thorough approach and understanding of the requirements. Proposal contains strengths which outweigh any weaknesses. Risk of unsuccessful performance is low.</b>
Green	Acceptable	<b>Proposal meets requirements and indicates an adequate approach and understanding of the requirements. Strengths and weaknesses are offsetting or will have little or no impact on contract performance. Risk of unsuccessful performance is no worse than moderate.</b>
Yellow	Marginal	<b>Proposal does not clearly meet requirements and has not demonstrated an adequate approach and understanding of the requirements. The proposal has one or more weaknesses which are not offset by the strengths. Risk of unsuccessful performance is high.</b>
Red	Unacceptable	<b>Proposal does not meet the requirements and contains one or more deficiencies. Proposal is unacceptable and unawardable.</b>

Past performance shall be rated using the following ratings:

<b>Past Performance Relevancy Ratings</b>	
RATING	DEFINITION
Very Relevant	Present/past performance effort involved essentially the same scope and magnitude of effort and complexities this solicitation requires.
Relevant	Present/past performance effort involved similar scope and magnitude of effort and complexities this solicitation requires.
Somewhat	Present/past performance effort involved some of the scope and magnitude Relevant of effort and complexities this solicitation requires.
Not Relevant	Present/past performance effort involved little or none of the scope and magnitude of effort and complexities this solicitation requires.

<b>Performance Confidence Assessments</b>	
RATING	DESCRIPTION
Substantial Confidence	Based on the offeror's recent/relevant performance record, the Government has a high expectation that the offeror will successfully perform the required effort.
Satisfactory	Based on the offeror's recent/relevant performance record, the

Confidence	Government has a reasonable expectation that the offeror will successfully perform the required effort.
Limited Confidence	Based on the offeror's recent/relevant performance record, the Government has a low expectation that the offeror will successfully perform the required effort.
No Confidence	Based on the offeror's recent/relevant performance record, the Government has no expectation that the offeror will be able to successfully perform the required effort.
Unknown Confidence (Neutral)	No recent/relevant performance record is available or the offeror's performance record is so sparse that no meaningful confidence assessment rating can be reasonably assigned.

### C. Definitions.

**Deficiency** A material failure of a proposal to meet a Government requirement or a combination of weaknesses in a proposal that increases the risk of unsuccessful contract performance to an unacceptable level. See FAR 15.001.

**Strength** An aspect of an offerors' proposal that has merit or exceeds specified performance or capability requirements in a way that will be advantageous to the Government during contract performance.

**Significant Strength** An aspect of an offeror's proposal that has appreciable merit or appreciably exceeds specified performance or capability requirements in a way that will be appreciably advantageous to the Government during contract performance.

**Weakness** A flaw in the proposal that increases the risk of unsuccessful contract performance. See FAR 15.001.

**Significant Weakness** in the proposal is a flaw that appreciably increases the risk of unsuccessful contract performance. See FAR 15.001.

**Uncertainty** is any aspect of a non-cost/price factor proposal for which the intent of the offer is unclear (e.g. more than one way to interpret the offer or inconsistencies in the proposal indicating that there may have been an error, omission, or mistake).

## 5. SUBMISSION OF PROPOSALS AND EVALUATION

**A. Administrative Details and General Instructions:** Proposals are due no later than the time and date specified in Block 13 of Standard Form 1442.

**(1) General Proposal Format.** Submit each copy of the proposal in tabbed, 3-ring binders with a "D" ring or locking closures.

**Title Page.** Each copy must include the Offeror's name and address, phone number and email address, title of the solicitation, solicitation number and date of submittal clearly identified on the front cover of the binder.

**Table of Contents.** Each binder of the proposal shall contain a detailed table of contents. The complete table of contents shall be included in each binder.

**Printed Matter Submissions.** Pages containing text are expected to be 8 ½ x 11 inches with at least one-inch margins at the top, bottom, and both sides. Paragraphs should be separated by at least one blank line. A standard 11-point font in either Arial or Times New Roman is preferred.

**Organization charts or schedules.** A folded 11" x 17" format may be used. Larger format drawings or tables may be added by folding them to fit within the binder.

All information must be confined to the appropriate volume. If the materials do not fit within a single volume, they should be separated in additional binders, but clearly identified as such. Each volume of the proposal is expected to contain a table of contents, summary section with a brief abstract of the volume, and the narrative discussion.

## **(2) Proposal Content Limitations.**

The Offeror must confine the proposal to relevant information and documentation sufficient to provide an adequate basis for evaluation. Offerors are responsible for including sufficient details, in a concise manner, to permit a complete and accurate evaluation of the proposal. The page count is at the discretion of the offeror, however the proposal may not be more than 100 pages total except Factor 4 Subfactor 1 Tab 5 Quarry Production does not count towards the 100 page limit. There is no page limit for that tab

The evaluation panel for the Government is instructed to evaluate the non-price proposal factors on the basis of the information provided. Extraneous information provided in the proposals will not be considered in the evaluations; therefore, the offeror should only provide information that satisfies the solicitation requirements. Merely restating the specifications without sufficient elaboration demonstrates a lack of understanding of the requirement.

**DO NOT** cross reference past performance information. It is acceptable to repeat data, project information or experience in more than one non-price area as long as it satisfies the requirements of the factor.

**Proprietary information must be clearly marked.**

**B. Content of Proposals:** All proposals must be prepared in two volumes: A technical proposal (Volume I), a price proposal (Volume II). Each of the volumes shall be separate and complete in itself so that evaluation of one may be accomplished independently from evaluation of the other. The technical proposal (Volume I) must not contain reference to price. Offerors who fail to

submit a complete proposal may be excluded and thus receive no further consideration for award.

**(1) Technical Proposal, Volume I: Provide one (1) original and three (3) copies and one (1) pdf. file on a CD-ROM.** Volume I shall consist of information for the six (6) factors, and the associated sub-factors, listed in tabular form, as noted below.

<b>VOLUME I – TECHNICAL (NON-PRICE FACTORS)</b>		
<b>TAB</b>	<b>EVALUATION FACTOR</b>	<b>SUBFACTOR</b>
1	Past Experience (Factor 1)	
2	Past Performance (Factor 2)	
3	Management Plan (Factor 3)	Prime Contractor Personnel (Sub-factor 1)
4	Management Plan (Factor 3)	Subcontractor (Sub-factor 2)
5	Procurement (Factor 4)	Quarry Production (Sub-factor 1)
6	Procurement (Factor 4)	Jetty Stone Delivery Plan (Sub-factor 2)
7	Project Execution (Factor 5)	Jetty Stone Placement (Sub-factor 1)
8	Project Execution (Factor 5)	Schedule (Sub-factor 2)
9	Project Execution (Factor 5)	Safety (Sub-factor 3)
10	Small Business Participation (Factor 6)	

**Volume I – Technical (Non-Price) Factors and Sub-factors:**

**Factor 1: Past Experience**

**Factor 2: Past Performance**

**Factor 3: Management Plan**

Sub-factor 1: Prime Contractor Personnel

Sub-factor 2: Subcontractor

**Factor 4: Procurement**

Sub-factor 1: Quarry Production

Sub-factor 2: Jetty Stone Delivery Plan

**Factor 5: Project Execution**

Sub-factor 1: Jetty Stone Placement

Sub-factor 2: Schedule

Sub-factor 3: Safety

**Factor 6: Small Business Participation Plan**

**Volume I – Technical (Non-Price) Submission Requirements and Evaluation Method:**

## **FACTOR 1 (TAB 1) – Past Experience**

### Submission Requirements:

The offeror shall provide project information for a minimum of 1 project for the prime, and a minimum of 1 projects of each of the proposed major subcontractor(s)\*, completed or substantially completed\*\* in the past 15 years that are comparable in size, scope, and complexity with the project required by this solicitation.

Project information shall contain:

- A description of the project and the contractor's involvement in the project;
- Project location;
- Brief summary of the challenges and solutions;
- Information on significant problems encountered, customer dissatisfaction, and corrective actions.
- Name, address, telephone number and email address of a current representative of the contact customer representative;
- Contract awarded amount;
- Project start date
- Project completion date (If not complete, provide % currently complete)
- Site map identifying location of work (i.e. root, trunk, or head of jetty).
- Range and quantity of stone sizes utilized.

\*Indicate if the offeror will not be having any major subcontractors perform a portion of the work. An offeror will not be treated unfavorably for failing to utilize a major subcontractor.

\*\* Substantially completed is defined as having completed more than 75% of the project work at the time of proposal submission.

### Evaluation Method:

More favorable ratings will be assigned to more recent projects, and projects similar in size, scope and complexity to the requirement in this solicitation. Less recent and smaller dollar value projects may be given less consideration.

Projects demonstrating that the prime and major subcontractor(s) have a history and are capable of completing the following major features of work will be more highly rated: Working in a marine environment including atop of jetties along the Pacific Ocean, successful experience working with > 15 ton stones.

Note: The Government may consider past experience information regarding predecessor companies, key personnel who have relevant experience or subcontractors that will perform major or critical aspects of the requirement.

**FACTOR 2 (TAB 2) – Past Performance**Submission Requirements:

The offeror shall provide past performance information for each project listed by the offeror under Factor 1: Past Experience.

Submit completed Contractor Performance Assessment Reporting System (CPARS) evaluation if available, with the proposal. When a completed CPARS evaluation is not available, respond and submit the standard Past Performance Questionnaire (PPQ) for USACE (Form PPQ-0 (9/30/11)) provided as an attachment to the solicitation. PPQ-0 is provided for the offeror or its team members to submit to the client for each project the offeror includes in its proposal under Factor 1, Past Experience, and for which a completed CPARS evaluation is not available. (Ensure correct phone numbers and email addresses are provided for the client point of contact.) **Do not submit a PPQ when a completed CPARS is available.**

Completed PPQs should be submitted with your proposal. If the offeror is unable to obtain a completed PPQ from a client for a project(s) before proposal closing date, the offeror should complete and submit with the proposal the first page of the PPQ, which will provide contract and client information for the respective project(s). Offerors should follow-up with clients/references to ensure timely submittal of questionnaires. If the client requests, questionnaires may be submitted directly to the Government's point of contact, **Jeffrey S. Renner**, via email at [Jeffery.s.renner@usace.army.mil](mailto:Jeffery.s.renner@usace.army.mil) prior to proposal closing date. Offerors shall not incorporate by reference into their proposal PPQs or CPARS previously submitted for other RFPs. However, this does not preclude the Government from utilizing previously submitted PPQ information in the past performance evaluation.

Also include performance recognition documents received within the **last five (5) years** such as awards, award fee determinations, customer letters of commendation, and any other forms of performance recognition.

In addition to the above, the Government may review any other sources of information for evaluating past performance. Other sources may include, but are not limited to, past performance information retrieved through the PPIRS, including CPARS, using all CAGE/DUNS numbers of team members (partnership, joint venture, teaming arrangement, or parent company/subsidiary/affiliate) identified in the offeror's proposal, inquiries of owner representative(s), FAPIIS, Electronic Subcontract Reporting System (eSRS), telephone interviews with organizations familiar with the offeror's performance, Government personnel with personal knowledge of the offeror's performance capability, and any other known sources not provided by the offeror.

While the Government may elect to consider data from other sources, the burden of providing detailed, current, accurate and complete past performance information rests with the Offeror.

### Evaluation Method:

The Government seeks a contractor who maintains a strong commitment to customer satisfaction and superior performance. It is the offeror's responsibility to affirmatively document these qualities in its technical proposal. In doing so, be mindful that "*past performance*" and "*past experience*" are not identical. Past *experience* measures what you have done and how many times, or for how long, you have done it. Past *performance*, however, measures how well you have performed.

Past Performance on projects that are similar in size and scope to this project may be considered to be more advantageous to the Government. Thus, the Government will take into consideration the age and relevance of past performance information and the offeror's overall performance record. If any performance issues are identified, the Government will consider the number, type and severity of the problems and effectiveness of corrective actions taken.

There are two aspects to the past performance evaluation. The first is to evaluate the offeror's past performance to determine how relevant a recent effort accomplished by the offeror is to the effort to be acquired. With respect to relevancy, more relevant past performance will typically be a stronger predictor of future success and have more influence on the past performance confidence assessment than past performance of lesser relevance. Common aspects of relevancy include similarity of service/support, complexity, dollar value, contract type, and degree of subcontract/teaming.

The second aspect of the past performance evaluation is to determine how well the contractor performed on the contracts. The past performance evaluation performed in support of a current source selection does not establish, create, or change the existing record and history of the offeror's past performance on past contracts; rather, the past performance evaluation process gathers information from customers on how well the offeror performed those past contracts.

Past performance questionnaires and/or ratings in Contractor Performance Assessment Reporting System (CPARS), indicating high levels of customer satisfaction, or completing in advance of original contract schedule, or finding innovative ways to cut costs, or increase value to the customer, will receive a better rating.

In the case of an offeror without a record of relevant past performance or for whom information on past performance is not available, the offeror may not be evaluated favorably or unfavorably on past performance.

Note: The Government may consider past performance information regarding predecessor companies, key personnel who have relevant experience or subcontractors that will perform major or critical aspects of the requirement.

## **FACTOR 3 –Management Plan**

This factor will be rated on the offerors' ability to demonstrate their project team has the expertise, qualifications, and experience to be able to complete the work and complete the work safely.

**Sub-factor 1 (TAB 3): Prime contractor personnel.**

Submission Requirements:

Provide the prime contractor's **organization chart** indicating key construction personnel. At a minimum, the chart must include the positions of project manager, project superintendent, contractor quality control (CQC) system manager, Site Safety and Health Officer (SSHO), and Stone Placement Equipment Operator (SPEO) that will be assigned to this project. **Current resumes** for these positions shall be provided. The resumes shall include the duties and responsibilities of the individuals and examples of project experience. In addition, **current certifications** for the SSHO, CQC, and SPEO should be provided. Examples of project experience shall include capacity the individual served on each project, dates employed on each project, and monetary size of each project.

Evaluation Method:

Firms will be evaluated to ensure key positions are properly certified, in addition, more favorable evaluations may be given to personnel who have been working in the field longer than those with less experience. Emphasis will be given to resumes with more recent experience and experience similar in size, scope, and complexity to the project called for under this solicitation.

**Sub-factor 2 (TAB 4): Subcontractor.**

Submission Requirements:

Provide current resumes of major subcontractors proposed to complete all work associated with this project. Each resume shall consist of a brief description of the company, services to be provided for this contract, number of years in business, and number of contracts between the prime contractor and subcontractor.

Evaluation Method:

More favorable evaluations may be given to subcontractors who have been in business longer, and have had more past business relationships with the prime contractor and who have provided written commitments of the subcontractors.

**FACTOR 4 – Procurement**

**Sub-factor 1 (TAB 5): Quarry Production.**

Submission Requirements:

Provide detailed project work plan describing the methodology of procurement of stone for the jetty.

Project work plan shall contain:

- Primary and Contingency sources of stone. Provide written evidence that commitments have been secured from the quarries to supply stones for this job. List the quarries, locations, contacts, and phone numbers;
- Written statements from the Primary and Contingency quarries that they can produce the specified quantity and sizes of job-required stones to complete this job within the specified time of performance. If multiple quarries are expected to be utilized, the combined total of stones produced must equal or exceed the required amount. Indicate the following:
  1. The quantity and sizes of suitable stone that has already been quarried and is “on the ground” and dedicated to this job.
  2. The volume of unmined stone (reserves) in the quarry(s), as well as the amount anticipated minable for this job.
  3. The expected yield(s) for the various sizes of job-required stones expressed as a percentage of all mined stones. Include information on assumptions, calculations, drill records (logs), geologic reports, and any other information that verify that adequate stone reserves meeting the requirements of this contract exist at the quarry(s).
- Documentation that all necessary permits to operate the quarry have been obtained and are currently active;
- Overall and historical production records of jetty-sized-stone quarry service records and include stone quality test results for each proposed quarry;
- Information from the quarry on the anticipated stone production rate.
- Any quarry related challenges and proposed solutions

**Note:** The Government reserves the right to conduct visual inspections of the quarries and collect and test stone samples to verify information presented in the proposal.

**Evaluation Method:**

A highly rated proposal for this factor will demonstrate that the offeror’s methodology for procurement of jetty stone will be effective and the offeror is prepared with solutions to any challenges. A detailed and specific work plan will be rated higher than a vague and general work plan.

**Sub-factor 2 (TAB 6) : Delivery Plan.**

**Submission Requirements:**

Provide detailed description for the methodology for delivery of stone to the jetty.

Project information shall contain:

- Methodology for stone hauling, delivery, and stockpiling;
- An outline of the jetty stone delivery plan to include the transportation equipment to be used to deliver stone to the jetty (barges or trucks or a combination of each), and the anticipated transportation routes. Provide evidence that the stone transportation equipment will be available during the performance period of this contract and note whether the prime contractor owns, leases or intends to subcontract the transport equipment. Identify any highway restrictions (weight and/or length) along transportation routes and/or dredging requirements for delivery;
- If the Contractor plans to barge rather than deliver by truck the plan shall include dredging requirements and mitigation to include eelgrass planting and monitoring and the experience of the firm that will perform and monitor the environmental mitigation.
- Information on the anticipated stone delivery rate and the calculations and assumptions to determine the delivery rate to include site and weather related constraints.
- Identification of all storage areas for the stones both at the quarry and at the job site.
- Address contingencies that may arise and a plan to deal with each.

Evaluation Method:

This sub-factor will be evaluated by the source selection team against the criteria addressed above. A highly rated plan will demonstrate that the offeror thoroughly describes the proposed methodology and conveys a thorough understanding of the required work, contingencies that may arise and plans to deal with them, and the site and weather related constraints. A detailed and specific work plan will be rated higher than a vague and general work plan.

**FACTOR 5 – Project Execution**

**Sub-factor 1 (TAB 7): Jetty Stone Placement.**

Submission Requirements:

Provide detailed description for the methodology of stone placement on the jetty.

The detailed description shall contain:

- A description of stone placement equipment. Provide crane and/or excavator rating load charts for the equipment, and the reach and swing of the equipment for anticipated stone weights and sizes. List attachments, grapples, power tag lines and other accessories proposed to be mounted on the placing equipment. Indicate the current location of the equipment and provide evidence that it will be available during the performance period of

this contract. Proposals will be rated on the adequacy of the equipment to perform the necessary work;

- A description of how placement efforts will be controlled both above and below water and along the different reaches of the jetty (i.e. transition sections, main body, head) based on design changes or exposures to ensure stone is placed according to the design template within the specified tolerances and how individual stone placement will be controlled to achieve an interlocked mass with the maximum surface contact and interlock with stones making contact on all faces;
- Information on the General Plan of Operations to include how haul road construction on the jetty crest will be conducted to prevent or minimize damage to the jetty. Indicate the amount of stone proposed to be placed with the placing equipment stationed on the crest (top) of the jetty and the amount of stone proposed to be placed with the equipment benched on the slopes of the jetty. If stone is proposed to be placed with the equipment benched on the slopes of the jetty, describe: how the existing intact jetty stones will be protected and preserved at their present location and condition; how base material on which equipment operates will be excluded from the final jetty stone matrix; and how the benching operations will be conducted, including diagrams that the proposed placement technique is feasible, describe how placement effort will reflect safe operating condition as a function of waves and tides, evacuation measures;
- Information on the anticipated stone placement rate to complete the project by dates specified in the contract, and the calculations and assumptions used to determine the production rate;
- A description of how environmental requirements will be met, including water quality monitoring and management practices.

#### Evaluation Method:

This sub-factor will be evaluated by the source selection team against the criteria addressed above. A highly rated plan will demonstrate that the offeror understands the required work, contingencies that may arise and how to deal with them, the site and weather related constraints, the equipment to be used, and the importance of proper placement technique. A detailed and specific work plan will be rated higher than a vague and general work plan.

#### **Sub-factor 2 (TAB 8) – Schedule**

##### Submission Requirements:

**Gantt Chart.** The offeror shall submit a Gantt chart (MS Project or Primavera software) showing a planned schedule to complete the project. The schedule shall identify stations of work with any specific details noted that have the potential to impact the manner in which work will be conducted or the schedule. Information on potentially restrictive tide and wave windows should be addressed. The schedule shall also include mobilization, demobilization,

any planned major maintenance scheduled for stone placement equipment, quarry operations, critical submittals, interim milestones, and contract completion date.

**Narrative.** The Gantt chart shall be accompanied with a narrative addressing how the offeror will maintain and accomplish the project schedule. Examples of narrative topics include critical path, production rates, weather days, assumptions used (i.e. size of crew, hrs/day, days/wk), anticipated problem areas or delaying factors and their impact, proposed corrective actions and contingency plans to meet project milestones and other factors that demonstrate the ability to accomplish the schedule.

Evaluation Method:

This sub-factor will be evaluated by the source selection team against the criteria addressed above. A highly rated schedule will demonstrate that the offeror fully understands the required work, contingencies that may arise and how to deal with them, the site constraints, process related to schedule, and has a realistic plan to complete the work on time.

**Sub-factor 3 (TAB 9): Safety Record.**

Submission Requirements:

Offerors shall include the Prime and major subcontractors' safety record and evidence of their ability to safely conduct construction operations. Information on the prime and major subcontractors' safety record must include the following:

- Experience Modification Rate (EMR) for Workers' Compensation Insurance issued by an accredited bureau, state, or council. Contractors or subcontractors with a rating over 1.0 EMR must provide explanation as to their rating and what steps have been taken to reduce their rating.
- OSHA Incident Rates for the last 3 years– OSHA Form 300

Evaluation Method:

Evaluation will be based on the safety record submitted for the prime and major subcontractors. Offerors with a lower Experience Modification rates (EMRs) will result in a higher evaluation rating. EMRs above 1.0 will result in a lower evaluation rating. Higher evaluation ratings will also be given to offerors that demonstrate continual compliance with OSHA,

**FACTOR 6 (TAB 10) -- Small Business Participation Plan**

Submission Requirements:

The purpose of this criterion is to evaluate the amount of work to be performed by Small Businesses as prime or subcontractors in relation to the total value of the project. This criteria is evaluated for all offerors and is not to be confused with the submission of a Small and Small Disadvantaged Business Subcontracting Plan which is only required of Large Business offerors.

All offerors (both large and small businesses) shall submit the Small Business Participation Plan (SBPP) template found at Attachment SBPP. A mandatory minimum Total Small Business Participation goal of ~~20~~15% of the total contract value (through small business participation from small-, small disadvantaged-, HUBZone-, Service Disabled Vet-, Vet-, or Woman-owned businesses) is required based on the nature of the work for this project. The offeror should articulate how small businesses will participate through performance as either a small business prime or as a subcontractor offeror as well as demonstrating the past performance of the offeror in complying with requirements of the clauses at FAR 52.219-8, Utilization of Small Business Concerns and 52.219-9, Small Business Subcontracting Plan. Offerors are required to submit information that can be verified on **at least three projects** that demonstrate the degree (both by dollar and by percent of total contract value) to which the contractor utilized small business firms in performing the project. For small business offerors, consideration will be given to self performance if a small business for that project, as well as for providing subcontracting opportunities to small businesses - as is the policy of the government. For large business offerors, in addition to the information for the three required projects, consideration may also include review of Individual Subcontracting Reports (ISR's) and Summary Subcontracting Reports (SSR's) from the electronic Subcontracting Reporting System (eSRS). The project information submitted for the small business participation plan evaluation does not have to be the same as those listed for Past Performance factor.

Evaluation Method:

Using Attachment SBPP to this solicitation, the government will evaluate offerors on the extent of the planned participation of U.S. small businesses in the performance of this acquisition as follows:

The total level of participation of small business prime offerors and small business subcontractors in terms of the percentage of the value of the total acquisition vs. the large business participation percentage.

The extent, to which, the offeror meets or exceeds the suggested socioeconomic category goals. These goals are a percentage of the value of the total acquisition. A mandatory minimum Total Small Business Participation goal of ~~20~~15% of the total contract value is assigned to this acquisition.

In addition to the mandatory Small Business goal of ~~20~~15% of the TOTAL contract value, the following goals are suggested for the individual socioeconomic categories and determined to be reasonable based on market research for this requirement. Goals are based on % of TOTAL contract value:

- {5% } Small Disadvantaged Business
- {3% } Woman-Owned Small Business (WOSB)
- {2% } Historically Underutilized Business Zone (HUB Zone) Small Business
- {5% } Veteran Owned Small Business (VOSB)
- {2% } Service Disabled Veteran Owned Small Business (SDVOSB)

The extent (i.e. length of and formality considerations) of demonstrated commitment to use such firms (enforceable commitments (JV, MP or written teaming agreements) will be considered more favorably than non-enforceable ones;

Verifiable past performance of the offerors in complying with requirements of the clauses at FAR 52.219-8, Utilization of Small Business Concerns, (both small and Large businesses) and FAR 52.219-9, Small Business Subcontracting Plan (Large Business primes only).

### **Volume II – Price Proposal:**

**Price Proposal, Volume II:** The Price Proposal shall be complete, detailed and submitted on forms provided in the Request for Proposal (RFP) (**one (1) original and one (1) pdf. file on a CD-ROM**). Contractors shall provide pricing and complete all line items on Standard Form 1442 (SF 1442).

The Price Proposal will be evaluated to determine reasonableness. Price will be evaluated based on the total for all line items, to include option items. Evaluation of price may also include price realism analysis, if such analysis is determined necessary. If determined necessary, price realism will be evaluated on the basis of whether pricing information reflects a clear understanding of the costs and risks associated with the project. It may also include verification of an offeror's price, and exchanges with the offeror to determine whether it has an acceptable understanding of the difficulties that may be encountered in performing the contract. The results of a price realism analysis may impact the evaluation of non-cost factors and the resulting award decision.

**Volume II - Price.** Your price proposal must be prepared in accordance with below paragraphs and must include:

#### **Tab 1 - SF1442, Solicitation, Offer, and Award.**

The SF1442 shall be filled out completely by the offeror and signed by an official that is authorized to bind the company. The offeror shall also acknowledge all amendments to the solicitation in accordance with the instructions on the Standard Form 30 or Block 19 of SF1442.

#### **Tab 2 – Section 00010, Proposal Price / Bid Schedule.**

The offeror shall fully complete this section.

#### **Tab 3 - Representations, Certifications and other Statements of Offerors.**

The offeror shall complete all representations and certifications in Section 00600 and also ensure current registration and completed/updated Annual Representations and Certifications on the System for Award Management (SAM) website, [www.sam.gov](http://www.sam.gov).

#### **Tab 4 - Bid/Offer Guarantee.**

#### **Tab 5 - Small Business Subcontracting Plan. (Large Businesses Only)**

Separate from the Small Business Participation Plan, which is included in Volume I, other than U.S. Small Business Offerors (e.g. Large Businesses) must also submit a small business subcontracting plan meeting the requirements of FAR 52.219-9 and DFARS 252.219-7003 (or DFARS 252.219-7004 if the offeror has a comprehensive subcontracting plan) contained in Section 00700, Contract Clauses. Large businesses must submit acceptable subcontracting plans to be eligible for award. Subcontracting plans shall reflect and be consistent with the commitments offered in the Small Business Participation Plan.

Large Businesses shall provide a completed Subcontracting Plan and most recent annual subcontracting report.

A model subcontracting plan is included as an attachment to this solicitation. For information on the evaluation of subcontracting plans, see Army Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (AFARS), Appendix DD.

**Submission of Proposals:** Proposals must arrive at the location designated in the RFP for receipt of such proposals prior to the time and date established in Block 13, SF 1442. There will not be a public proposal opening. In order for the proposal to be considered, it **MUST** be prepared in ENGLISH, and must be submitted as a **hardcopy original (Volume I, and II) and three (3) copies (Volume I only) with the required electronic CD-ROM:**

**BY HAND OR COURIER TO:**

U. S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS, NWP  
Block 300 (10<sup>th</sup> Floor USACE Security Desk)  
333 SW. First Avenue  
Portland, Oregon 97204  
**Attention: Jeffrey S. Renner – CECT-NWP-C**

**OR SURFACE MAIL ADDRESS:**

U. S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS, NWP  
P. O. Box 2946  
Portland, OR 97208-2946  
**Attention: Jeffrey S. Renner – CECT-NWP-C**

NOTE: E-MAIL SUBMISSIONS WILL NOT BE CONSIDERED. If the electronic CD-ROM proposal differs from the hard copy, the hard copy will take precedence.

**OFFEROR SHALL MARK THE OUTSIDE ENVELOPE(S) (PURSUANT TO FAR 14.201-5) AS FOLLOWS:**

Envelope(s) shall be plainly marked with the following information:  
Solicitation No. **W9127N-15-R-0003**  
Opening Date:  
Number of each amendment that has been received:

The following have been deleted:  
IHA PERMIT PROVISION

(End of Summary of Changes)